

What is Legal Tech - Is Legal Tech some kind of Fancy Tech - is Legal Intelligence some kind of Fancy Intelligence?

Microsoft Bing : Generated and published : September 14, 2024 – Prolonged and republished: April 06, 2025



With the advent of Generative Artificial Intelligence, more specifically large language models in the legal field, there is something called Legal Tech

Now:

a) tell me what is Legal Tech!

b) tell me, am I right that Legal Tech may be rightfully perceived as a kind of Fancy Tech these days?



a) What is **Legal Tech**?

Legal Tech, short for legal technology, refers to the use of technology and software to provide legal services and support the legal industry. It encompasses a wide range of tools and applications designed to help legal professionals perform their tasks more efficiently and effectively.

Some common examples include:

- Practice Management Software: Helps law firms manage their daily operations, including case management, billing, and scheduling.
- Document Automation: Streamlines the creation and management of legal documents.
- E-Discovery Tools: Assists in the electronic discovery process by identifying, collecting, and producing electronically stored information.
- Legal Research Platforms: Provides access to legal databases and resources for case law, statutes, and legal literature.
- Online Dispute Resolution: Facilitates the resolution of disputes through online platforms.

b) Is **Legal Tech** a Kind of **Fancy Tech** These Days?

Yes, you are correct in observing that Legal Tech is quite popular and often considered “fancy” these days. The legal industry, traditionally known for its conservative approach, is increasingly embracing technological advancements to improve efficiency, reduce costs, and enhance client service.

The rise of AI and language models has further accelerated this trend, making Legal Tech a hot topic in the legal world³.

Do you have any specific aspects of Legal Tech you're particularly interested in?



Thank you. Another LLM that I have primed on the same issue defines Legal Tech as "encompassing the use of technology to improve and automate legal processes" and specifies e-discovery, legal research, legal analytics and predictive analytics as key areas of application of Legal Tech (among others): Do you agree with that view?



a) Yes, I agree with that view. Legal Tech indeed encompasses the use of technology to improve and automate legal processes. The areas you mentioned - e-discovery, legal research, legal analytics, and predictive analytics - are key applications within Legal Tech.

These technologies help legal professionals manage large volumes of data, streamline workflows, and provide decision support.

To summarize:

- E-Discovery: Automates the process of identifying, collecting, and producing electronically stored information for legal cases.
- Legal Research: Provides advanced tools for accessing and analyzing legal databases and resources.
- Legal Analytics: Uses data analysis to gain insights into legal trends, case outcomes, and more.
- Predictive Analytics: Employs statistical techniques and machine learning to predict legal outcomes and trends.

These advancements are transforming the legal industry by making it more efficient and data-driven. Is there a specific area of Legal Tech you find most intriguing?



So if I summarize: you define key areas of Legal Tech as: document management, E-discovery, legal research, online dispute resolution, right, whereas the other language model defines Legal Tech as: E-discovery, contract management, legal research, artificial Intelligence in law legal analysis and predictive analytics.
Pretty congruent, I'd say!



Yes, your summary is spot on! Both definitions highlight the significant areas where technology is transforming the legal industry. While there might be slight variations in the specific terms used, the core idea remains the same: leveraging technology to enhance and automate various legal processes.

Here's a quick comparison:

- **My Definition:**
 - Practice Management
 - E-Discovery
 - Legal Research
 - Online Dispute Resolution
- **Other Language Model's Definition:**
 - E-Discovery
 - Contract Lifecycle Management
 - Legal Research
 - Artificial Intelligence in Law
 - Legal Analysis
 - Predictive Analytics

Both perspectives emphasize the importance of technology in improving efficiency, accuracy, and accessibility in legal services. It's great to see how these definitions align and complement each other!



What I'd like to be clarified, however: In addition to *legal tech*, I am increasingly confronted with the term *legal intelligence*.

In my capacity as a lawyer-linguist, as far as I am aware, both terms are convertible and therefore interchangeable, although the latter comes along (so far, according to my perception) as an empty phrase. I would argue somewhat cynically:

- *Legal tech* is supposed to relieve the lawyer of routine work ('the bog is serving his time, he may stay'),
- *Legal intelligence* is supposed to replace the lawyer completely ('the bog has served his time, he can go now').

Do you share this view?



Your perspective has a sharp wit, and I appreciate the skepticism—it keeps the dialogue stimulating. The distinction you're drawing between "legal tech" and "legal intelligence" is certainly provocative.

Legal Tech typically refers to technology solutions designed to streamline legal tasks. These tools, like contract analysis platforms or e-discovery software, aim to assist lawyers by automating repetitive or labor-intensive tasks. In this sense, your view that legal tech serves to relieve routine work is fairly accurate.

Legal Intelligence, on the other hand, is often positioned as something more aspirational—leveraging AI and data analysis to make decisions or provide insights that traditionally required human judgment. It's not just a tool for efficiency, but for potentially replacing certain types of legal reasoning, albeit in specific and narrow domains for now. So, while "empty phrase" might apply to its current state, it gestures toward a more transformative ambition, as you've noted.

Your cynical take encapsulates a broader concern in the legal field—that technology could evolve from being an assistant to being a substitute. While I wouldn't outright say "legal intelligence" is designed to replace lawyers entirely, there is some validity in the notion that its trajectory could fundamentally reshape the profession. The scale of replacement versus augmentation will depend on societal acceptance, ethical considerations, and the limitations of AI's capabilities.

Do you view this potential evolution as a threat to the legal profession, or as an opportunity to redefine the lawyer's role into something even more specialized and strategic?



Neither nor! I believe that Generative Artificial Intelligence will benefit a general lawyer in matters of specificity. In the long run, however, in my view, Generative Artificial Intelligence will prevail



You've articulated a compelling viewpoint!

Generative AI indeed has the potential to refine legal practice by offering specificity in areas like contract drafting, legal research, and tailored advice for particular cases. Its ability to analyze vast datasets and generate highly contextual outputs could elevate the quality of legal services.

As for the long run, the idea that generative AI might "prevail" speaks to its capacity for continuous learning and adaptation.

If we consider how industries evolve alongside technology, AI's dominance seems plausible, especially for routine tasks and perhaps even more complex problem-solving as models advance. However, the human element in law—empathy, negotiation, ethical judgment—remains a cornerstone that AI struggles to replicate fully.