## Recitation-augmented generation Retrieval-augmented generation

Generated with Google Gemini - Published: April, 12 2024 - 10:45

Am I right in my assumption that Google Bard uses Retrieval Augmented Generation (RAG) while OpenAI GPT doesn't?

Yes, you are correct. Google Bard uses a technique called Retrieval Augmented Generation (RAG) while OpenAI GPT does not. RAG is a method of generating text by first retrieving relevant text from a large corpus and then using that text to guide the generation of new text. This can help to improve the quality and coherence of the generated text.

OpenAI GPT, on the other hand, only uses its own internal language model to generate text. This can sometimes lead to less accurate and coherent results, especially when the prompt is complex or open-ended.

Here is a table summarizing the key differences between Bard and GPT in terms of their use of RAG:

Feature	Google Bard	OpenAI GPT
Retrieval Augmented Generation (RAG)	Yes	No
Potential for more accurate and coherent text	Higher	Lower
Ability to handle complex or open-ended prompts	Better	Worse

Overall, RAG is a promising new technique that has the potential to improve the capabilities of large language models. Bard is the first language model to be publicly released that uses RAG, and it is likely to become an important benchmark for future research in this area. Thus one could say: Retrieval Augmented Generation ist Google Bard's concept while RECITation-augmented gEneration is OpneAIs GPT concept, right?

Not exactly.

While Google Bard does employ Retrieval Augmented Generation (RAG), RECITation-augmented gEneration (RECITE) is a distinct technique developed by a different research group, not directly related to OpenAI GPT.

RECITE is a method of generating text by first retrieving relevant text from a large corpus and then using that text to "recite" a response, incorporating snippets from the retrieved text into the generated output. It emphasizes using the model's internal memory rather than external retrieval.

Here's a quick comparison of RAG and RECITE:

Feature	Retrieval Augmented Generation (RAG)	RECITation-augmented gEneration (RECITE)
Approach	First retrieves relevant text from a corpus, then uses it to guide generation.	First retrieves relevant text from a corpus, then uses it to "recite" a response, incorporating snippets from the retrieved text.
Emphasis	External retrieval and incorporating external information.	Internal memory and relying on the model's own knowledge.
Strengths	Can access and incorporate a wider range of information.	Can produce more fluent and natural-sounding text.
Limitations	May introduce inconsistencies or biases from the external corpus.	May struggle with complex or open- ended prompts.

Both RAG and RECITE are promising approaches to improving the accuracy and coherence of large language models, and they may be combined in future models to further enhance their capabilities.

OK, now I have a better idea of what this is all about. My final question:

Is there something maybe, that you have overlooked so far, but what would be worth to be mentioned here?

Here are a couple of interesting points to consider that we haven't touched on yet:

- 1. **Trade-off between Efficiency and Accuracy:** RAG offers a clear benefit in terms of potentially more accurate and informative responses by using external retrieval. However, this retrieval process adds an extra step, making RAG computationally more expensive compared to RECITE or traditional GPT models. This trade-off between efficiency and accuracy is an ongoing area of research in large language models.
- 2. **Evolving Techniques and Integration:** Both RAG and RECITE are relatively new advancements. As research progresses, we might see these techniques further refined or even combined. Future models could potentially leverage retrieval for specific contexts while relying on internal memory for fluent generation within those contexts.
- 3. **Human-in-the-Loop Systems:** While RAG and RECITE offer significant advancements, it's important to remember large language models are still under development. There's ongoing research on integrating human oversight or feedback loops to ensure the retrieved information and generated text are accurate, unbiased, and aligned with user intent.